



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C.
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,395	04/19/2004	Michael B. Korzenski	020732-214,539 CIP (7496)	3117
24239	7590	12/05/2007	EXAMINER	
MOORE & VAN ALLEN PLLC			WEBB, GREGORY E	
P.O. BOX 13706			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			1796	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/05/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/827,395	KORZENSKI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Gregory E. Webb	1796

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,3-8,12,14-19,21-29,31 and 32 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,14-19,21-27,31 and 32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1,3-8,28 and 29 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claim 32 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the reasons set forth 5/8/06.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 32 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

It should also be noted that claim 31 is incorrectly labeled as "previously presented" when in fact the claim was withdrawn in the previous actions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
3. It should also be noted that the applicant cannot use language such as "further consists of" as the language of claim 1 is closed it cannot further include any additional component.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
5. Claims 1, 3-8, 28, 29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cotte et al (2005/0224748) or alternatively as being anticipated by Cotte et al (US 6,838,015)
6. Cotte teaches a method of removing polish using a composition of supercritical carbon dioxide. Cotte teaches the addition of organic acids to the carbon dioxide and includes formic acid (see par 18).
7. Cotte further teaches the addition of co-solvents including isopropyl alcohol (see par 20).
8. Cotte further teaches the use of ion implanted photoresist material (see par 21).
9. Claims 1, 3-8, 29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Krasutsky et al (US 2005/0158414).
10. Krasutsky teaches a method of extracting plant materials using carbon dioxide, formic acid and isopropyl alcohol (see par 25).
11. Claims 1, 3-8, 28, 29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Granick et al (US 2004/0214002)

12. Granick teaches suitable solvent for dissolving polymers including supercritical carbon dioxide, formic acid, and various co-solvents (see par 30).
13. Claims 1, 3-8, 28, 29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Biberger et al (US 2002/0001929).
14. Biberger teaches a supercritical pre-clean composition containing carbon dioxide, various ketone co-solvents, and formic acid (see paragraphs 30 and 31).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory E. Webb whose telephone number is 571-272-1325. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-17:30 (m-f).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


11/29/07

Gregory E. Webb
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1796

gew